AO 472 (Rev. 09/08) Peralise order 1: 100-101-1000072 PR1999 ECF No. 36, PageID.68 Filed 04/28/10 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL			
V.				
Tyrone Nathan Defendant	Case No. 1:10-cr-00072-RJJ			
200.44.11				
After conducting a detention hearing under that the defendant be detained pending trial.	er the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require			
	Part I – Findings of Fact			
	nse described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had			
	18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for sor more.			
an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.				
an offense for which a maximum	prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in:			
	<u> </u>			
a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.				
any felony that is not a crime of v	violence but involves:			
a minor victim	on of a firearm or destructive device or any other dengarage weepen			
	se of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon under 18 U.S.C. § 2250			
(2) The offense described in finding (1) wa or local offense.	as committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state			
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elaps offense described in finding (1).	(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the offense described in finding (1).			
	outtable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another I that defendant has not rebutted that presumption.			
,	Alternative Findings (A)			
(1) There is probable cause to believe that	t the defendant has committed an offense			
for which a maximum prison term Controlled Substances Act (21 U under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	n of ten years or more is prescribed in: J.S.C. 801 et seq.) .*			
	esumption established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions			
	appearance and the safety of the community.			
/ (4) There is a serious risk that the defendant	Alternative Findings (B)			
(1) There is a serious risk that the defenda	• •			
· ·	ant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.			
	Statement of the Reasons for Detention			
evidence a preponderance of the evidence	submitted at the detention hearing establishes by <u>/</u> clear and convincing that:			
1. Defendant waived his detention hearing, elec	cting not to contest detention at this time.			
2. Defendant has been in state custody and wor	uld not be released in any case.			
3. Defendant may bring the issue of his continui	ing detention to the court's attention should his circumstances change.			

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	April 28, 2010	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge